October 23, 2013

Date:

October 23, 2013

Time:

12 Noon Eastern, 9AM Pacific, 5PM UK

Dial-in Info:

- +1-734-615-7474 (English I2, Please use if you do not pay for Long Distance),
- +1-866-411-0013 (English I2, toll free US/Canada Only)

PIN: 0195376 #

Agenda:

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Review Charter Items:
 - a. Establish international interfederation agreements with eduGAIN and UK federation.
 - b. Review documented trust practices and policies for entity registration and publishing.
 - c. Review and adopt the US-EU Code of Conduct concerning attribute release and privacy.
 - d. Review and assist in the implementation of metadata management/publication/aggregation/tagging improvements.
 - e. Establish practices and policies for domestic interfederation for regional, K-12, etc federations.
- 3. Logistics: mailing list, wiki, teleconferences, etc
- 4 AOB

Attending:

Warren Anderson, Steven Carmody, John Krienke, Tom Scavo, Ian Young, I.J Kim, Mark Scheible, Paul Caskey, Steve Olshansky, Scott Cantor

Recording:

https://edial.internet2.edu/call/0181033

Minutes:

- 1. Introduction
 - a. General introductions
- 2. Review Charter Items:
 - a. Establish international interfederation agreements with eduGAIN and UK federation
 - John Krienke reports that he and Ken Klingenstein have introduced EU/EEA CoC to I2 primary council.
 - Staff person for I2 primary council has been assigned to give feedback
 - Ian Young and Steven Carmody have started technical work
 - Uses shibboleth metadata aggregator to export UK federation metadata from a couple of universities on an opt-in basis.
 - Steven notes there is another use case at Brown University who want to share information with U of Newcastle upon Tyne.
 - lan reports on plans to go public with plans for production interfederation between UK federation and eduGain in a couple of days, which may change the nature of how this goes forward.
 - Steven Carmody asks John Krienke how to position UK project with respect to possible InCommon-eduGain-UK Federation interfederation seeming to be in the future, especially given I2/InCommon issues with agreements.
 - John notes that since the eduGain process is not an agreement and negotiation but more like a POP, it doesn't seem like a road block.
 - O Also, eduGain is lightweight, so seems technically possible.
 - O Given these, though, might lead to trust issues what can we expect from metadata?
 - O UK project, being a bilateral agreement, adds value on what we can expect in a trust framework.
 - b. Review documented trust practices and policies for entity registration and publishing.
 - John characterizes this as defining "what really matters"
 - Tom notes that, in relation to UK Federation agreement, there needs to be documented trust practices in place.
 - First two charter items are related in this way.
 - Ian notes that it is a prerequisite for eduGain that you have a trust practices document, although it's unspecified what they should contain.
 - UK and InCommon have a higher standard and have put more thought into it.
 - This is another way in which the UK-InCommon can provide insight to bring forward with eduGain
 - John agrees and notes that InCommon has internal policies that have not been raised to that level, asks if formalizing them sooner rather than later is useful.
 - Ian raises example of domain registration practices as something that is often not considered and just assumed to be handled properly. Can't be handled as informally as it is within a single federation.
 - o lan thinks that there should be some identifiable items that have to be in a practices statement.
 - Steven asks if there are any other federations that would join InCommon and UK in such a process

- o lan thinks Austrians and Swedes would be good partners for this. Has had such a conversation with Austrians, Swedes are always open to such considerations.
- Steven asks if anyone has thought about how to encode this in machine readable code.
 lan has thought about it but doesn't think there is a solution available at present.
- Since federations are not first-class entities, there is currently no place for such entity attributes to live.
- Steven thinks this might be needed going forward.
 lan thinks this is a distant prospect, but first step is formalizing the items.
- O John asks if mdrpi is multivalued, so that we can express all the registration practices it complies with
- o lan answers not at present but it is a path forward.

3. End of time

· Warren asks if weekly time is good - Ian says he may have conflict every other week. We will go forward with this for now, revisit schedule if it seems necessary.