

# 6-Nov-2012 Meeting Agenda and Notes

**Meeting Details: Tuesdays, 4:00 - 5:00 pm Eastern Time, 1:00 - 2:00 Pacific Time**

**Dial-in numbers:**

+1-734-615-7474 (Please use if you do not pay for Long Distance),

+1-866-411-0013 (toll free US/Canada Only)

**Access code: 0150432#**

**Participants**

Who	With	Attending
Omer Almatary	Rutgers University	
Rob Carter	Duke University	
Celeste Copeland	Univ. of North Carolina	
Warren Curry	U Florida	
Michele Decker	U of Notre Dame	
Tom Dopirak	CMU	
Jeremy Grieshot	Clemson University	
Keith Hazelton	UW-Madison / Internet2	
Karsten Huneycutt	Univ. of North Carolina	
Steve Olshansky	Internet2	
Derek Owen	U of Notre Dame	
Andrew Petro	Unicon	
Chris Phillips	Canarie, CA	
Gary Sharpe	UC Davis	
Muhammad Siddique	Rutgers University	
Bill Thompson	Unicon / Jasig	
Boyd Wilson	Clemson University	

**AGENDA** (See [meeting notes](#) for more detail)

1. The Clemson University [provisioning connector architecture](#) (and possible use in CIFER) (Boyd Wilson)
  - a. NetIQ XSLT might be more site specific vs. Scripts that know how to talk to specific endpoints are the more interesting shareable bits;
  - b. Does need to have the concept of a filter, etc. Might have to build something to do a message bus version of this. Something that directs who cares about what.
  - c. Synergy around ActiveMQ; Jeremy can look into that; Wiring to the bus; two layers to the discussion; If either CPR or OR put events on the bus, what's the common model? SCIM? SPML?
  - d. The technology is more generic than person identity info provisioning
2. Provisioning at Rutgers using Open Registry (Muhammad Siddique)
  - a. Use CAMEL at both producer (of events) dB table of the queue. event type, person information; add person, update, add role,...
  - b. Consumer listening on the queue and provisions to end points like legacy CTB? and LDAP.
  - c. Roadmap: ActiveMQ with CAMEL endpoints
  - d. CAMEL have about 70+ components, we're using several of them.
  - e. Changelog dB table / Event log will continue on, but be supplemented
  - f. Mix & match backend registries that we work with & see if the CAMEL; with OR after changelog gets created and rest could change; is that an interesting cleavage plane?
3. Detailing the CIFER P&I three and six month work plan
  - a. by January 31, 2013
    - i. Use cases for demo solutions fully documented;
      1. UNC Improv provisioning
      2. Notre Dame provisioning
      3. PennState CPR provisioning
      4. Rutgers OR provisioning
      5. Clemson provisioning
      6. U FI QuickReg Guest System
      7. UW-Madison PersonHub provisioning to O365 and to HR (Oracle/PS HCM)
      8. Duke U O365,...
      9. UC Davis
      10. ? Producing flat file batch reloads
      11. ? Downstream batch diff based provisioning
      12. ? Changelog processing model
    - ii. Toolkits identified
    - iii. P&I models documented and illustrated by reference to above
    - iv. Vetting emerging CIFER APIs against our P&I use cases

1. NOTE: CIFER API calls are biweekly on Wednesdays at Noon Eastern, 9:00 am Pacific; the next call is November 14.
2. Same conference call line as this call, with access code 0121717#
3. Do we mix in CAMEL and ActiveMQ with REST? Persistence would be the challenge. Some way to match the Clemson transport with back-end storage

b. by April 30, 2013

- i. Demo solutions implemented and documented including recipes, tool choices and code snippets
- ii. Detailed roadmap for P&I deliverables over following 12-18 months

NB: Omer Almatary and Muhammad Siddique will join a future CIFER-P&I call to detail Rutgers U approach to provisioning into Workforce.

Action Items:

[KeithH] Work with Clemson on detailing next steps

[RobC] Approach Omer, Muhammad, JimmyV about shared interest in ActiveMQ