2021-March-9 CTAB Public Minutes

CTAB call Tuesday, March 9, 2021

Attending

- · David Bantz, University of Alaska (chair)
- Pål Axelsson, SUNET
- Rachana Ananthakrishnan, Globus, University of Chicago
- Tom Barton, University Chicago and Internet2, ex-officio
 Ercan Elibol, Florida Polytechnic University

 Tom Barton, University

 Tom Barton, University
- · Richard Frovarp, North Dakota State
- Eric Goodman, UCOP InCommon TAC Representative to CTAB
- · Meshna Koren, Elsevier
- John Pfeifer, University of Maryland
- Dave Robinson, Grinnell College in Iowa, InCommon Steering Rep, ex-officio
- Chris Whalen, Research Data and Communication Technologies
- Jule Ziegler, Leibniz Supercomputing Centre
- Robert Zybeck, Portland Community College
- Johnny Lasker, Internet2
- Kevin Morooney, Internet2
- Albert Wu, Internet2
- Emily Eisbruch, Internet2

Regrets

- Brett Bieber, University of Nebraska (vice chair)
- Jon Miner, University of Wisc Madison
- Andy Morgan, Oregon State University
- Ann West, Internet2

Action Items

- AI TomB will take issue of a standard to tell the SP what they can report back to IDP when abuse is detected to SIRTFI working group and report back to CTAB
- Intellectual Property reminder

Discussion

Potential Gap in SIRTFI

- · Meshna noted that it would be helpful to have a standard around what SP's can/should report back to the IDP when they detect abuse,
- when there is a security incident related to a credential
- to help the IDP find and handle the situation around the compromised credential.
- TomB: (chairs the REFEDs SIRTFI working group), yes this is likely a gap in SIRTFI.
- There is a handbook, not yet circulated, that will reference templates and other materials
- · AI, Tom will take issue a standard to tell the SP what they can report back to IDP when abuse is detected to SIRTFI working group and report back to CTAB

Around the community

Trust and Identity Operations Update

- Johnny is readying a release for tomorrow, with bug fixes
- Ruby upgrade for the Federation Manager
- Outreach around Baseline Expectations v2 will start this week
- Finalizing communications for BEv2
- Will do biweekly communications (to site admins first)
- Reports for baseline are in a google share drive
- Have not announced BEv2 yet; therefore the data is flat
- ShannonR is working on automation of testing TLS

o InCommon TAC updates

- InCommon TAC is finishing 2021 workplan development
- Forming a recommendation on what InCommon should do around the SAML deployment profile,
- https://docs.kantarainitiative.org/fi/rec-saml2-Deployment-profile-for-fedinterop.html
- Profile does not include pushing for subject ID adoption this year

- For CTAB next year, it may be relevant to look at whether the elements in the SAML deployment profile are useful for guidance around baseline
- Work with Google and privacy initiatives, looking at same site cookies on steroids
 - Nicole Roy is participating, want to ensure we don't "break" SAML
 - This is a serious issue, if Google left alone could have bad consequences
- There is a new InCommon TAC working group spinning up to look at issues around testing environments
 InCommon TAC is looking at the work of Seamless Access and also tracking CTAB's work around assurance
- o REFEDS Working Groups (Assurance WG; Baseline Expectations WG; R&S 2.0 WG)
 - REFEDs Assurance WG
 - . There is a subgroup forming for topics related to MFA
 - Jule will give presentation at next R&S v2.0 working group call on the eduperson assurance attribute
 - REFEDs Baseline Expectations WG
 - Consultation closed, updates made, there is now a final draft
 - · Will be sent to REFEDs Steering Committee
 - · Then determine next steps

Assured Access Working Group Updates

- Assured Access Working Group is making good progress
- At last meeting, the working group
 - o discussed local enterprise, laid out proposed structure of draft report
 - o BE allows every InCommon participant operating in IDP to assert claim for wide swath of users
 - o Brett shared draft of recommendations, will be filled in during coming weeks
 - O Albert will likely work on creating a decision tree

Updates on NIH happenings

- Albert building a timeline around what's happening at NIH around requirements
- Things are still shifting to some extent; some segments of NIH are still developing timeframe for logon requirements
- eRA is requiring MFA and R&S by Sept 15, 2021 by single sign-on or logon.gov
- Pubmed blog announces transition to federated credentials for 2021
- Albert creating a set of wiki pages
- https://docs.google.com/document/d/1lealFqLesBToPi96BV_fjLBYu6hw6Hs0gl7skY2cVu4/edit
 DO NOT SHARE IN PUBLIC NOTES
- Hope to provide a timeline sharing what we know
- · InCommon office hours are scheduled for Wed. March 10 where we can being to answer questions the community may have
- · Ann West sent email to schools with eRA grants, received a few responses
 - There is potential confusion between what NIH announced as being required (MFA, R&S and identity assurance) and recent communication about requirements for eRA (MFA and R&S)
- · Cooperation between InCommon and NIH has been going well in working out the requirements and steps needed.

Framing BEv3

- We are sort of in a race; researcher / researcher community wants richer/safer/easier ways to interact, or they'll seek alternatives
 we need to go fast
- Bringing along orgs not focused on research takes time
 - we need to go slow
- · How do we balance?
- · Want to be sure that InCommon scales to make it possible for institutions to have the resources/access they need
- Require Research and Scholarship Entity Category (R&S) in BEv3?
- There is value to including R&S in baseline expectations
- But we need to be careful not to make it too high a burden to be in the InCommon federation
- ChrisW: was very concerned about making the burden too high, when CTAB worked on BEv1
- But the number of organizations that dropped out of InCommon was very small. They were organizations that were not using InCommon federation anyhow
- · BEv1 was relatively easy to comply with
- Including R&S in BE can challenge organizations' technology choices
- · There are other use cases besides research
- Some organizations join InCommon for commercial use cases
- Should we have baseline expectations plus, where requirements are set based on the nature of the collaboration?
- Comment: We should be careful in partitioning the federation
- When CTAB discusses R&S, common topics are:
 - o technology challenges, and IDP as a Service as potential mitigation for that, and
 - attribute release and privacy issues
- Suggestion that CTAB do in depth look at potential mitigations, to address the issues that stall our discussions
- Reminder: InCommon TAC is implementing some of the recommendations of the IDP as a Service working group
- . MFA is another issue as CTAB considers BEv3
- Agreed supporting MFA is good and necessary
- We get stuck on how an SP and IDP communication around MFA
- We want to use REFEDs MFA profile https://refeds.org/profile/mfa
- How do we help schools that use products that don't support the REFEDs MFA approach?
- · Azure or ADFS users may not be willing to add another layer of technology, they see more technology and more risk
- There are sometimes bilateral relationships with institutions and researchers when an institution cannot assert MFA
- But there are dangers to bilateral relationships

- There are business choices, risk based choices
- There are business choices, risk based choices
 SP perspective: use case of Elsevier, students needing to reach articles,

 MFA becomes a burden

 Many SPs will not require all IDPs to support MFA
 Signaling support of MFA or not makes most sense
 Globus supports different levels
 Ability to signal how you perform authentication is important

- Ability to signal MFA may be part of certain profiles

• Summary:

- Makes sense to create a subgroup or working group to lay out the considerations
 Create a map to understand what is fundamental and what is specific to certain cohort
- O Start a working group to put move this discussion ahead, frame the questions
- Hope Rachana might take the lead
- Discuss at next CTAB call

Next CTAB call: Tuesday, March 23, 2019 [Summer or Daylight Time will have begun in U.S.]