# **CACTI Public Meeting Notes of 26-May-2020**

CACTI Call May 26, 2020

# Attending

### Members

- Tom Jordan, University of Wisc Madison (chair)
- Jill Gemmill, Clemson (vice chair)
- Rob Carter, Duke
- Margaret Cullen, Painless Security
  Matthew Economou, InCommon TAC Representative to CACTI
- Michael Grady, Unicon
- Karen Herrington, Virginia Tech
- Christos Kanellopoulos, GEANT
- Les LaCroix, Carleton College
- Chris Phillips, CANARIE
- Mike Corn, UCSD (Guest)
- David Hutches, UCSD (Guest)

#### Internet2

- Kevin Morooney
- Ann West
- Steve Zoppi
- Nick Roy
- Jessica Fink
- Emily EisbruchMike Zawacki

#### Regrets

- Marina Adomeit, SUNET
- Nathan Dors, U Washington
- Bill Thompson, Lafayette College

#### Action Item from this call

• AI TomJ - start documentation around the effort to outsource parts of Identity and Access Management

# Discussion

#### Announcements

- OPENID foundation virtual workshop was May 21, 2020.
- Featured speed dating format, lightning talks
- Presentations: https://openid.net/workshops/oidf-virtual-workshop-may-21-2020/

#### Identity and Access Management - fully-outsourcing to InCommon?

#### (Mike Corn CISO at UCSD, with identity in portfolio and David Hutches, Enterprise Architect at UCSD)

- UCSD asks CACTI/InCommon to consider handling aspects of IAM (beyond identity proofing) for campuses
- Background: UCSD has 20 or 30 year old infrastructure
- Two sets of credentials; Not one authoritative person registry
- Want to change the identity ecosystem along lines of ITAP reference architecture
- Rely on COmanage to de-duplicate; Will feed info to Grouper and AD
- Will use legacy system (Racf) for another 3 years until student info system is replaced
- Much work and figuring out to consume records and build an identity record
- Identity proofing should likely be done by each campus
- Beyond identity proofing, why are individual campuses doing this identity work?
- Would like InCommon to "solve the problem"
- · Don't trust the private sector to manage this
- Waiting for government to handle identity is not a solution
- There can be merit to digital wallet and badging efforts for providing transcripts
- A platform with tokens representing each person's degrees and certifications would be helpful
- Regarding Identity Proofing:
  - Verification of all identities is a complex task
  - ° Would need a level of flexibility to handle exceptions
  - Each institution has different notion of affiliates
  - ° Health system affiliations can be complex, issues around health provider certifications
  - Question of who is an affiliate

- What about people who park on campus and provide some identity info in order to pay for parking?
- What about people who are summer interns?
- These questions belong to the campuses
- Beyond identity proofing, it would be helpful if InCommon can handle the identity token/record management
- TomJ: What should be the demarcation in the handoff between campus and the central infrastructure provider?
- · Mike: Campus must deal with access to resources based on affiliation type
- · UW Madison looking at consumer identity in access management model
- Looking at demarcation between self registration, identity proofing,
- once identity is established you moved into IGA territory and provisioning decisions
- MidPoint is focused on IGA
- · Maintaining identities is big operational challenge
- UW Madison researching external solutions, their conclusion is that keeping it in higher ed family is likely important
- Progressive profiling, tracking all online interactions with the organization, eventually a higher level of identity proofing is required. Following NIST standards.
- · Sometimes a self asserted identity is fine
- Beneficial to track identity over time
- For degree program, identity proofing is important
- NIST 863 framework for identity proofing does not provide higher level identity proofing requirements
- Nick: must be able to assert info the org understands, must be able to delegate to others for maintaining and injecting attributes
  - OPENID connect is the technology that provides this
  - but not broadly implemented to date
  - In the EU there is an identity assurance working group, working on ability to inject identity claims into other streams
- In worrisome scenario, financial services firms could end up as identity providers
- In EU the privacy regulations are strong
- · UCSD: looking for a few identifiers, parallel to the ORCID ID concept
- Restatement of what the desired identity service might do:
  - Identity proofing probably not in scope
  - Identity registration and identity matching components of the ITAP architecture
  - ° register an identity and make it available to downstream
  - maintain the identity record with a unique identifier and the infrastructure around that
- What's the difference in trust between handing that off to InCommon versus a commercial entity?
- More trust in a consortium versus a commercial party that can leave the business
- · Christos noted that In Europe the direction is to link academic identity with citizen ID provided by government
- Each country looking at who are the identity providers
- Some countries debating (or tried and backed off from) using identity data provided by banks
- Will move part of the academic identity to AARC infrastructure
- Thinking of demise of United ID as a way of bootstrapping IDs for people
- Provide SAML authentication, and replace what United ID is doing
- In the Federation space there is a need for a persistent high quality form of authentication, something people don't forget about.
- Use Web Authentication? But there are issues if person loses their phone
- CANARIE looked at a prototype / proof of concept for managing identities
- Leveraging a consortium is a good idea, versus a commercial model
- · EID https://www.idemia.com/electronic-id-eid in Europe, Other approach in Sweden
- Lead adopters can fund the effort in the beginning
- · What are the expectations for operations?
- Bootstrapping is one challenge, but maintaining the records is a big deal
- Like a networking protocol, the solution should be deep and highly distributable and high performance
- Integration ability at each institution is thin. How to adapt your onsite process to a new approach?
- At what point would organizations be ready to shift the risk?
- Host the PII necessary for the identity record.
- What is the legal risk to InCommon to take on running such a service. Data protection is key

#### Additional comments

- user benefit : identity owned by HE consortium means my identity is not being sold.
- it's disruptive to need a new identity when I change institutions.
- Valuable to have one identity. But don't want Google to control everything.
- Something rooted in a trusted group is valuable.
- · Connecting certifications to the identity has value
  - Wisconsin state education department has some interest in this type of approach
- ORCID for things other than research
- Some friction around technology, SAML, openID Connect, etc.
- companies like Apple who want to verify student-ness, could be interested in helping with funding

   Must be careful to not "resell" people's info

#### Kevin

- This idea comes up often, very interested in CACTI's input and thoughts on this
- Regarding funding such an effort,

- Can do things in our environment for free, create dependency, then make a business case and create the revenue to sustain it
   or
- Get some institutions to pay for the work, up to a point. Then ask for broader funding

Next Step: articulate this more crisply, sharpen the description, problem statement, value proposition, list related efforts

#### AI TomJ will start documentation around the effort discussed to outsource parts of Identity and Access Management

-----

## **Recruiting and Hiring Working Group (Jessica)**

- 1. Gauging interest/readiness to spin up the Working Group
- 2. Prep for surveying the community and/or announcing this at the June 10, 2020 IAM Online "Hiring for IAM"
- 3. Start an email list and promote it at end of the June webinar?
- 4. Agree to solicit for participation in the proposed working group on the June 10, 2020 IAM Online

#### Parking Lot

Next Meeting: Tuesday, June 9th, 2020