# 2020-Jan-14 CTAB Public Minutes

# CTAB Tuesday, January 14, 2020

New Meeting Date/Time Tue 1PM ET / 10 AM PT

## Attending

## Members

- · David Bantz, University of Alaska (chair)
- · Mary Catherine Martinez, InnoSoft (vice chair)
- Pål Axelsson, SUNET
- Rachana Ananthakrishnan, Globus, University of Chicago
- Tom Barton, University Chicago and Internet2, ex-officio
- Brad Christ, Eastern Washington University, InCommon Steering Representative to CTAB
- Ercan Elibol, Florida Polytechnic University
- Richard Frovarp, North Dakota State
  Chris Hable, University of Michigan
- John Pfeifer, University of Maryland
- Chris Whalen, Research Data and Communication Technologies
- Jule Ziegler, Leibniz Supercomputing Centre
- Robert Zybeck, Portland Community College

#### Internet2

- Ann West, Internet2
- Albert Wu, Internet2
- Emily Eisbruch, Internet2
- Jessica Coltrin, Internet2

### Regrets

- · Brett Bieber, University of Nebraska
- Jon Miner, University of Wisc Madison
- Eric Goodman, UCOP TAC Representative to CTAB

## New Action Items from this call

- Al TomB outline the steps we need to talk to kick off community consensus for next CTAB call
- Al Albert consult with Nick Roy and AnnW on suggestion to state in section 3.1.1 that federation operator will monitor and report back to CTAB on compliance

## **Discussion**

## **New member Introductions**

- Welcome to our new CTAB members
- https://www.incommon.org/community/leadership/

## Baseline Expectations (BE) 2020 consensus doc

## Key documents:

- BE V2 Draft https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ubwc4RoqEO6HtbN6t9dpGvY2eiD5zcs3Zw5gh9xHMsk/edit
- BE Clarifications doc provides more explanation and how tos
- https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Xvan2fpX8Ig-KFvI4wT1fGmJR8bc464BMFWAsREh-Ec/edit
  - o The BE Clarifications Doc is intended to be an FAQ published on the wiki
  - Its goal is to help participants understand what each BE statement means and now to adhere

Reminder that there are 5 possible components of BE that CTAB is working to implement in upcoming phases.

Numbers 4 and 5 below will likely be postponed for a future year, beyond 2020.

- 1. SIRTFI Framework
- 2. Encryption for endpoints for IDPs and SP
- 3. Inclusion of Error URL in IDP metadata (no requirement for content; point to working group)

- 4. Support for REFEDs MFA profiles (for future)
  - a. Need to detail how organizations would comply with this, thanks to Eric G for his work on this
- 5. IDP supports attribute bundling (R&S now; future anonymous attribute bundle) (for future)

- It was noted that the clarification doc only included items 1, 2 and 3.
- There is a draft of clarification for items 4 and 5, but that had been removed from the draft.
- Decision: return the material on 4 and 5 to the draft, but explain that they are for the future most likely, and explain what likely still needs to be
  figured out (DONE)
- Also will include clarification of 4 and 5 (items for beyond 2020) on the eventual FAQ wiki page.
- CTAB reviewed recent updates to the BE doc
- It was noted that we do NOT want to be in the position of needing to revise the BE doc every few months
- Dispute resolution will be used to resolve situations on non compliance, such as for BE statements like this:
  - 1.3.3. All IdP service endpoints must be secured with current and supported transport layer encryption
- We may want to be more specific around "current and supported"
  - Suggestion to add the word "strong"
  - Need to work on the wording for 1.3.3 more later
  - $^{\circ}$  There will be some automated tests around endpoints, for the TLS security requirements.
  - o For the SSL Labs tests, there were a few "F"s, in the preliminary analysis performed by Shannon Roddy
  - O Some think we need to be more specific.
  - O SURFnet uses the SSL Lab grading
  - If InCommon will use SSL Lab grading, we should be more explicit
- For the SP side, we do not mention Error URL
  - Could specify that SPs must be ready to use an Error URL
  - Whether the SP can handle Error URL impacts the user experience
- Suggestion to focus on security as the theme for this phase of BE
  - O And tackle user experience issues in next phase of BE
- There is a proposal for a task force that may address the user experience
- Decision: No change to the doc at this time around mentioning SP side Error URL
- · Perhaps errorURL by SPs should be considered in a future rev of BE.
- Do we want to add any sub-bullets in section 3 for Federation Operators?
- Al Albert consult with Nick Roy and AnnW on suggestion to state in section 3.1.1 that federation operator will monitor and report back to CTAB on compliance

## Timeline for BE announcement and moving into consensus period

- · Consensus period
  - Start when
  - o how long?
- When do we announce consensus achieved ?
- How do we field feedback during consensus?
- · CTAB had hoped to have community consensus period kickoff info for the community by end of January 2020
- A future CTAB meeting should discuss logistics of running the community consensus process.
- Al TomB outline the steps we need to talk to kick off community consensus for next CTAB call

Next CTAB Call : Tuesday Jan 28, 2020 at 1pm ET