CACTI Public Meeting Notes of 8-Jan-2019

CACTI call of Jan. 8, 2019

Attending

Members

- Chris Phillips, CANARIE (chair)
- Tom Barton, U. Chicago
- Warren Anderson, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee /LIGO
- Rob Carter, Duke
- Jill Gemmill, Clemson
- Karen Herrington, Virginia Tech
- Todd Higgins, Franklin & Marshall College
- Christos Kanellopoulos, GEANT

Internet2

- Kevin Morooney
- Ann West
- Steve Zoppi
- Nick Roy
- David Walker
- Emily Eisbruch (scribe)

Regrets

- Marina Adomeit, GEANT
- Nathan Dors, U Washington
- Tom Jordan, U Wisc - Madison
- Les LaCroix, Carleton College

Action Items

- older: [AI] Chris will discuss future of Security and Audit WG w chairs of CTAB and TAC
- older: [AI] (ChrisP) follow up with Les and Christos on next steps for URN / OID registry.

CACTI Action items wiki: https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/x/GoPdBg

Discussion

eduTEAMS - overview and insight

- Christos provided overview of eduTEAMS https://www.geant.org/Innovation/eduteams/Pages/Getting-Started.aspx
- Please see these slides:
    - slides: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1QjRfPhBiWJUK73VfTDVI7NV2pa7NPgXu/view?usp=sharing
- There are several pilots underway

- Q: Proxy integrates the attributes sources, can you pull info about a given user?
    - A: Yes, in dedicated version of eduteams

- Q: How many people to support eduTeams?
  A: Five FTEs for development and operations. Will be adding more people for the bespoke service

- Q: Is there a matrix or comparison of platforms different from COmanage? Which has which features?
  A: Found it is not useful, from the recent pilots, hard for users to understand, better to do consulting to help understand what best fits the needs.
  Note: It was requested that Christos share this info with CACTI anyhow, if it exists.

- Comments:
    - Good to track lessons learned on scaling up eduTeams.
      Interesting to see how the COmanage story dovetails with the eduTeams story
    - Thanks to Christos for the excellent presentation on eduTeams.

Proposed final draft of CACTI FIM4R review

- CACTI-DRAFT-FIM4R-Assessment Final
- Ready to call this the completed recommendation
- Q: How do the recommendations in the CACTI FIM4R Assessment mesh with eduTeams ?

    - Jill: The FIM4R Assessment covers membership management and need for integration.
    - Large section of community does not warrant federal funding.
    - US NRENs do not have the staff that European NRENs have.
    - We would call on expertise with more emphasis on campus IDM staff and developers.

- With larger number of people involved in sharing info on how to use the offering and where to go for help.
- It was noted that eduTeams is the collaboration as a service aspect of the FIM4R report
- Closely parallels the CI logon 2 offering that is offered in the USA
- Sustainability is key
- Providing a gateway for researchers
- Small part of research community knows about it; communicating with the community about what offerings are available is very important
- Ann: Next Campus Success Program (CSP) is key

    - We want to work with Trusted CI, help projects get up to speed
    - Looking at doing something like Campus Success Program (CSP), but with a focus on research and perhaps on campus research centers
    - Goal is to start building long-term infrastructure to handle the projects so they don't have to go elsewhere for the infrastructure.
    - Long term play with interesting synergy
- ChrisP : FIM4R report recommendations are able to be used to fortify the CSP in next steps, we don't necessarily call it out in the FIMrR doc
- Still need to write an exec summary for the FIM4R doc
- Kevin:

    - CACTI did an expansive request for input
    - Feel confident taking this forward now
    - An important intermediate step is to do one or two passes and look at what is possible and broader planning constructs
    - There is half-day Internet2 exec planning team retreat later this week.
    - Kevin would like to share the FIM4R report as a draft, and as Internet2 confidential
    - Group agreed it is OK for Kevin to share the report at this point internal
    - Kevin would like to work on a communication strategy longer term for the FIM4R response work
- Jill noted there would be benefit to sharing the FIM4R Response with the campus training events.
- Ann suggested to share the current FIM4R response paper with those we reached out to for input (Component Architects, TAC, CTAB, etc) so they can preview; Then perhaps do a webinar. Ask Jim Basney, from CI Logon, to share his perspective

2019 planning - closer collaboration with TAC + Chairs?

- ChrisP has reached out to Janemarie and Keith Wessel of TAC to continue the conversation
- Would be great for CACTI to know more about the planning cycle and how can CACTI help
- Nick Roy could coordinate a planning meeting to discuss next steps, looking at major priorities.
- AnnW:
    - We need to look at all the community recommendations reports we have received in past 6 months.
    - Staff could then return to CACTI and others with questions on priorities.
- Christos:
    - helpful to return to the reserach community with the recommendations
    - Sometimes things get lost in translation
    - AARC created recommendations along WITH the research community and this proved very helpful
- Involve others in addition to JimB
- TomB will try to help with that outreach to researchers
- Important to promote effective strategy around distribution of IAM skills on campus, distributed solutions to the research community needs.

CACTI Staffing

- Welcome Nick Roy as CACTI staff liaison.
- Emily Eisbruch will continue to serve as CACTI scribe

Next CACTI Meeting is Tuesday, January 22, 2019