2019-Jan-9

CTAB Call of Wed. Jan. 9, 2019

Attending

- Mary Catherine Martinez, InnoSoft (chair)
- · Brett Bieber, University of Nebraska
- David Bantz, University of Alaska
- Tom Barton, University Chicago and Internet2
- Ted Hanss, Yale
- Chris Whalen, Research Data and Communication Technologies
- Albert Wu, Internet2
- Ann West, Internet2
- Emily Eisbruch, Internet2
- John Pfeifer, University of Maryland (quest)

Regrets:

- Chis Hable, University of Michigan
- · Jon Miner, University of Wisc Madison

DISCUSSION

CTAB Recruiting

- MC reported on the outreach that she and TomB have been doing to CTAB candidates
- Steering approval for the CTAB slate could be sometime after February 4 (or asynchronous if required for time purposes)
- · Steering will need a list of full CTAB and where they are from and term dates
 - o MC and Brett should both join the Steering call on Feb. 4, 2019
- · Welcome John Pfeifer, University of Maryland, to today's CTAB call as a guest
 - o John has been at University of Maryland for 18 years, previously at NIH.
 - o Experience in identity management, running Shibboleth

2019 Roadmap

- CTAB Planning call with Brett, David B, Jon M, Chris W, and Albert scheduled for Friday, Jan. 11 at 5pm ET.
- Albert will forward calendar invite to Brett

Baseline Expectations - Dispute Resolution Handling

- Albert shared mock-up of Docket in wiki
 - $^{\circ}$ Copied orgs of priority 1-5 info into this wiki. Now restricted viewing
 - Idea of tracking each org in the wiki for richer tracking
- · Comment: looks good for our tracking
- There was a question about those not on the docket, those to be attended to. The public docket should likely include those pending review.
- · Albert: the public docket list will need to be manually maintained
- Perhaps take google tracking sheet and transform it to wiki page
- Include entity IDs as well as organization
- Could include 200-300 items
- · Entity IDs are tracked in the health check reports
- Suggestion to link to page with public docket
- No need for very fine grain details to be available to public view
- However an IDP might want to scan list to see SPs that are used by my institution that are not meeting baseline
 - $^{\circ}\,$ An IDP might contact the SP not meeting baseline and offer to help that SP meet BE
 - For an SP using federated logon as access to my service, might want to be sure the participants are meeting BE
 - Want to be able to scan to be sure BE is being met by my partners.
 - Want a very visible indication of where we are falling short of all of InCommon meeting BE
- Suggestion for metadata explorer tool (from REFEDs)
- Currently we are focusing on metadata, but In future state of Baseline Expectations, we may be talking about supported software
- There will be a clean up task for end of any phase of baseline
- Include when the list was most recently updated
- For public listing, use an entity-based listing
- How much info to provide to community about reason an org is not meeting BE?
- Prroposal to get a list for the trust and Identity newsletter, with just 4 columns
 - o org name
 - o entity name
 - entity ID
 - o Entity type (IDP, SP)

- AI : Albert mock up the pubic docket and share with CTAB (done)
 Currently has restricted access: https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/x/ZAJ0C

For a Future CTAB call

- Community Dispute Resolution Process where do we start (Stage 2 vs 3)
 How will CTAB divide effort in Stage 3 review?
 Time for another tabletop exercise?
 Involving Service Desk invite Service Desk to CTAB call during BE CDRP?

Committee Housekeeping

- Update meeting invites to come from ???Slack Channel for CTAB?

Next CTAB Call: Wed Jan. 16, 2019