2019-Jan-2

CTAB Call of Wed. Jan. 2, 2019

Attending

- Mary Catherine Martinez, InnoSoft (chair)
- Brett Bieber, University of Nebraska
- David Bantz, University of Alaska
- Tom Barton, University Chicago and Internet2
- Jon Miner, University of Wisc - Madison -
- Chris Hable, University of Michigan
- Chris Whalen, Research Data and Communication Technologies
- Ann West, Internet2
- Albert Wu, Internet2
- Emily Eisbruch, Internet2

Action Items

- [AI] (TomB and MC) do outreach to CTAB candidates to answer any questions about CTAB
- [AI] (Albert) bring roadmap group – DavidW and ChrisW and JonM (if available) - together for 2nd week in January
- [AI] Albert create a strawman for the public docket (done)

DISCUSSION

CTAB Recruiting

- MC and TomB will reach out to the CTAB nominees
- CTAB has accepted all of the nominees, pending a chat with them by MC and TomB.
- The process after the chat is to submit a CTAB slate to InCommon Steering for formal acceptance.
- InCommon Steering will able to vote in Feb.
- CTAB may want to invite the nominees to join CTAB calls sooner as guests

2019 CTAB Roadmap

- Albert to schedule CTAB Roadmap call with DavidB and Chris W in 2nd week of Jan.
- Jon is interested but may not be available.
- Possible Work topics
    - Dispute process for Phase 1
    - Consensus process for "Academic IdPs implement R&S" as an enhancement to BE
    - Consensus process to add SIRTFI to BE as meeting BE #3 for IdPs and SPs
    - Consensus process to add errorURL to BE metadata expectations
- Other CDRP topics:
    - IdPs susceptible to ROBOT attack
    - IdPs still running shib v2 or other end-of-life federating technologies

Baseline Expectations Next Steps

- Good progress in entities meeting Baseline Expectations
    - All entities: 3951 of 4535 meet the metadata expectation (87%)
      IdPs: 492 of 527 meet the metadata expectation (93%)
      SPs: 3459 of 4008 meet the metadata expectation (86%)
      Orgs: 676 of 756 meet the metadata expectation for all entities (89%)
- No new requests for extensions
- Most R1s have met baseline, except two of them
- Need to decide action plan for orgs that have not met BE nor requested an extension
- There are about 30 priority 5 organizations not meeting Baseline.
    - Sooner or later we must contact them as part of dispute resolution
    - How do we get the best contact for the outreach to the priority 5s?

- **DOCKET**
    - See info around docket: http://doi.org/10.26869/TI.118.1
    - Could ask Internet2 Community Engagement staff to follow up if outreach from CTAB does not produce results
    - Need to nail down how we publish the public docket,
    - Suggestion to draft an article for newsletter with a link to the docket
    - Pending newsletter article listing orgs not in compliance may create some urgency
    - Need a list that can easily be referenced

- Perhaps leverage the page we have now https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/display/BE/Baseline+Expectations+Adherence+by+Organization

- Regarding process of sending most egregious / most important orgs not meeting baseline to Steering , process starts with some personal outreach
- In some cases, service management team is working on it.
- For public info could use: https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/display/BE/Baseline+Expectations+Adherence+by+Organization
    - This lacks info on what's happening for those not meeting BE
    - Create the docket intentionally
    - Suggestion to retain the history
    - To add an org to the docket, there should be a CTAB vote and a record of that vote
    - Need 30 or 40 more orgs to meet baseline to achieve 95% meeting Baseline
    - Docket should be under the Baseline website or wiki
        - Private docket makes sense as a wiki page, private to CTAB
        - Public docket is a table in a wiki page, listing the current state
    - Name entity IDs on private document only
    - Separate IDPs from SPs
    - [AI] Albert create a strawman for the public docket (done)

Next CTAB Call: Wed. Jan 9 at 4pm ET