## **InCommon Working Group Reports**

2018 Monthly Report Archive		
January	February	March
April	May	June
July	August	September
October	November	December

## **Working Groups Overview**

Working Group	Chair(s)	Summary
InCommon OIDC /OAuth Deploymen t Working Group	Nathan Dors, University of Washington	A survey confirmed that there is already substantial use of the OIDC/OAuth2 protocols by campuses. Using these protocols is substantially less mature in the higher education environment than the SAML protocols that have been used for the last 15 years. This working group brings together current users to develop and propose standard deployment practices in order to improve the likelihood of interoperation "just working."
InCommon Deploymen t Profile Working Group	Keith Wessel, University of Illinois, Urbana- Champaign	This working group was chartered to develop a deployment profile that describes required and recommended practices for IdPs and SPs operating in the higher education and research community. If necessary and desirable, this working group will facilitate an effort to further evolve the current SAML2int profile. It will also identify which of these standards could be tested by InCommon if the federation wanted to ensure full profile compliance by participants.
InCommon Streamlinin g SP Onboarding Working Group	Tommy Roberson, Baylor University; Garrett King, Carnegie Mellon University	This working group was chartered to develop a deployment profile that describes required and recommended practices for IdPs and SPs operating in the higher education and research community. If necessary and desirable, this working group will facilitate an effort to further evolve the current SAML2int profile. It will also identify which of these standards could be tested by InCommon if the federation wanted to ensure full profile compliance by participants.
Attributes for Collaborati on and Federation Working Group	Brad Christ, Eastern Washington University	This working group includes participants from the key stakeholder groups that need/use common attributes used in federated access exchanges. The working group explored the reasons that default attribute release policies are not in place at most campuses and will propose a default list of attributes for InCommon Identity Provider operators. The group aims to develop and execute a roadmap for adoption of the Research and Scholarship Category of Service Providers as well as a default attribute release policy. In addition the group will review and enhance online content for Identity Provider administrators so they have a clear set of steps to follow to implement the desired approach.

## **Associated Links**

InCommon Working Groups Home