

# 2019-Feb-27

## CTAB call of Wed Feb 27, 2019

### Attending

- Mary Catherine Martinez, InnoSoft (chair)
- Brett Bieber, University of Nebraska
- David Bantz, University of Alaska
- Tom Barton, University Chicago and Internet2
- Brad Christ, Eastern Washington University
- Eric Goodman, UCOP - TAC Representative to CTAB
- John Hover, Brookhaven National Lab
- Adam Lewenberg, Stanford
- Jon Miner, University of Wisc - Madison
- John Pfeifer, University of Maryland
- Ann West, Internet2
- Albert Wu, Internet2


### Regrets

- Chris Whalen, Research Data and Communication Technologies
- Rachana Ananthakrishnan, Globus, University of Chicago
- Chris Hable, University of Michigan
- Emily Eisbruch, Internet2 -

## DISCUSSION

### 2019 CTAB Roadmap

- Firming up roadmap plan, promoting collaboration-ready and prioritizing work items based on moving towards that collaboration-ready goal.
- Developed a pyramid for the talk at 2019 Global Summit.
- <https://meetings.internet2.edu/2019-global-summit/detail/10005367/>
- Slides: <https://meetings.internet2.edu/media/medialibrary/2019/03/05/20190307-herrington-collaboration-trust-interoperability.pdf>
- Risk management is at top of pyramid, much goes into managing risk



a.

- Pyramid could be a foundation for evaluating future baseline expectations requirements
- A key question is which elements get moved into the base of the pyramid
  - a. And how things move up into the yellow over time
- Is the proposed schedule in the roadmap appropriate and aligned with the notion of raising bar for collab-readiness? Are things in the right order?
- Must consider community's ability to adopt and also importance
- Are there dependencies that need to be separated?
- MFA and Shib considerations:
  - a. Changes in Shib v 3.3 make it possible to meet REFEDs MFA
  - b. Shib 2.x versions are not being supported and so are not secure
  - c. Regarding scope around MFA, there may be issues and operational concerns for SP operators
  - d. Recommend to develop advice for SPs on how to balance issues around MFA
  - e. Noted that Baseline Expectations (BE) will not require supporting MFA.
  - f. Rather, BE will state that if an SP requires MFA, then REFEDs MFA will be required.
  - g. Once complication: it's possible an SP will require MFA, but then get an "error" response rather than a clear yes or no.

- Suggestion to focus first on SIRTFI rather than MFA, since SIRTFI should be easier to tackle
- Also focus on requirement for supported software (Shib 3 or above)
- Eventually we will likely want to take the proposal to governance bodies and then the community for consensus
- How do we define academic IDP? TBD
- Agreed it's important to get additional feedback from research collaborations
- Summary: For roadmap, first tackle Security theme, SIRTFI, current versions of software

#### **Volunteer for CTAB liaison to InCommon TAC**

- TAC meets biweekly
- There are usually pre-reads before a TAC meeting
- Often discuss working groups TAC has sponsored
- Time estimate is 4 hours per month, and can be more if you volunteer for extra tasks
- David Bantz - will be CTAB Liaison to TAC, thank you David

#### **Invitation to comment on InCommon's response to the 2018 WG reports (Attributes for Collaboration and Streamlining SP Onboarding )**

- Note that Attributes for Collaboration WG was co sponsored by CTAB
- Please review and comment at your convenience
- Purpose of this doc is to inform the InCommon Federation workplan
- Response to "InCommon should build a bigger tent" is in part, BaseCAMP
- Link to BaseCAMP Info:
- <https://www.internet2.edu/blogs/detail/16932>
- Brad Christ, Chair of the Attributes for Collaboration WG, commented that he endorses the response so far to the call to "build a bigger tent," including baseCAMP, and doing more outreach at EDUCAUSE and other venues. Brad noted that outreach to smaller colleges and community colleges is important.

#### **Baseline Expectations 2018**

- Albert sent out second wave of follow up assignments to CTAB members last week for outreach to orgs not meeting BE.
- Nine organizations left have not been assigned to CTAB members for follow up.
  - a. Those 9 have not paid invoices. The InCommon RAs will contact those.
- There are 60 orgs that have not met baseline. Ten of those have extensions.
- Albert has drafted a background/context doc for Steering ahead of submitting final list to Steering for action
- March 14, 2019 deadline to report to InCommon Steering. Steering meeting is on March 11, 2019.

#### **CTAB WIKI**

- CTAB wiki - spinning up separate wiki unless there is objection.
- Albert will work on this after this phase of BE is complete

**Next CTAB call**, Wed. March 13, 2019