

# 2018-June-20

## CTAB Call Wed. June 20, 2018

### Attending

- Brett Bieber, University of Nebraska (chair)
- Mary Catherine Martinez, InnoSoft (vice chair)
- David Bantz, University of Alaska
- Jon Miner, University of Wisc - Madison
- Joanna Rojas, Duke
- Emily Eisbruch, Internet2

### Regrets

- Tom Barton, University Chicago and Internet2
- Chris Hable, University of Michigan
- Ted Hanss, University of Michigan
- Chris Whalen, National Institute of Health
- Ann West, Internet2

### Action Items

[AI] Emily create a Doodle Poll for 2nd week of July for Tabletop exercise

- Check with TomB on how much time is needed.
- Include CTAB, BillK
- Emily ask Ann who to include on the InCommon side, perhaps ShannonR

[AI] (Brett) discuss with the InCommon team and DavidW and ReneeS the best way for CTAB to get info from DavidW and ReneeS.

- In addition to progress reports, it would be helpful to get info on trends from what they are hearing from the community.
- Which elements of baseline expectations seem to resonate most strongly for example:
  - being a good citizen
  - greater security
  - needed increased awareness
  - user experience such as for researchers
  - Issues around administrative burden
- We may use this info in future communications

### Action Items from previous calls:

[AI] TomB create a possible scenario for dispute resolution tabletop exercise

[AI] Ann draft a handful of processes to escalate who to contact first. Erin can help.

- Consider pulling in the community to reach out to “delinquent” folks
- Share graphs monthly and highlight those that will be focused on.

[AI] Ann revise the strawman draft to tackle campuses/orgs and drive up “compliance” -

[AI] David Walker [update the Federation wiki](#) re privacy policy and logo info (once the guidance is final). These will be linked from the BE FAQ . <https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/x/iYRQBw> Emily has passed this along to David

### Discussion

#### Baseline Expectations Status Report

- Progress is being made. Over 50% compliance for IDPs now.
- outreach that ReneeS and DavidW are/will be doing should be helping
- Brett shared the progress on the Big Ten academic alliance identity management task force call today.
- Brett showed 1st tab on the stats.
- Gave a quick demo
- Good suggestion from Jacob Farmer in an email to Nick Roy: Would be helpful to have an indicator (red light and green light) of BE compliance next to login on Fed Manager.

#### Transitioning the Community to Baseline Expectations

- David Walker and Renee Shuey are contractors helping with this work

- Will share transitioning doc (internal doc only) with InCommon Steering at their next call.
- Seems ready to go
- How should CTAB receive info from DavidW and ReneeS?
- Hard to go back and reference info posted in Slack
- so perhaps a spreadsheet makes sense.

AIJ (Brett) discuss with the InCommon team and DavidW and ReneeS the best way for CTAB to get info from DavidW and ReneeS.

- In addition to progress reports, it would be helpful to get info on trends from what they are hearing from the community.
- Which elements of baseline expectations seem to resonate most strongly for example:
  - being a good citizen
  - greater security
  - needed increased awareness
  - user experience such as for researchers
  - Issues around administrative burden
- We may use this info in future communications

Non-R&S SP Perspective:

- MC: A local exchange would be simpler for InnoSoft than InCommon.
- But certain IDPs see InCommon as a requirement.
- This is why InnoSoft participates in InCommon.
- Level of risk that SPs and IdPs want to accept relates to the InCommon Federation value proposition.
- Some SPs don't need to collect sensitive info

#### **Table top exercise around supporting baseline and dispute resolution**

- Tom developing scenario
- Find a time prior to our July 18 call?
- [AIJ] Emily create a Doodle Poll for 2nd week of July for Tabletop exercise

#### **Consultation on Consensus Process**

- open until July 17
- <https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/x/7xSMBw>
- Keith Wessel provided feedback regarding defining "rough consensus"
- Agreed this is a good suggestion
- [AIJ] Jon will add a footnote to address this (done)
- [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ao-ZO9hfWMuSh0KHMegZV3S8ETS1jM1hIk8hmy\\_UjFI/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ao-ZO9hfWMuSh0KHMegZV3S8ETS1jM1hIk8hmy_UjFI/edit#)