CSI2 Working Group

Computer Security Incident
Internet2
April 2006



Draft Charter

- How to consistently identify security incidents
- How information about the incidents can be shared
 - To improve the overall security of the network and the parties connected to the network.
- Publish a report identifying tools, tool output and existing information sharing frameworks
 Preparation and background for future systems and tools.



Three primary activity areas

- Tools
 - Shared darknets
 - Distributed IDS
- Data
 - retention, anonymization, related policies
- Sharing
 - formats such as IODEF and tools to implement



Tools

- Survey existing tool sets
 - What tools are in use by campuses that could be shared?
- Future tools
 - Can we improve the security posture of the community by supporting development of these tools?
- Assess the value and difficulty of "extending" the tools with an inter-realm dimension
 - REN-ISAC



Tools: Darknets

- A darknet collector listens to one or more blocks of routed, allocated, but unused IP address space.
- Because the IP space is unused (hence "dark") there should be very little if any legitimate traffic entering the darknet
- Team Cymru Darknet Project
 - http://www.cymru.com/Darknet/index.html



Darknets

- Complex campus networks need an IGP
- We use hold-down (nailed-up) routes anyways
 - Static routes at the border to minimize route flapping
 - Pointing our address space to Null0 with a high metric
 - Fail safe



Darknets

- Why not inject hold-down routes for unused space to a stub router?
 - And generate netflow records in one place
- Doesn't need a lot of horsepower
- Unused space dynamically falls in to the Darknet



Shared Darknet

- Develop a wide-aperture, powerful network security sensor
 - directly serve higher-education and research institutions
 - indirectly serve Internet users at large.
- Institutions who run local darknets send their collector data to REN-ISAC
 - Only hits from remote sources



Shared Darknet

- The data is analyzed to identify compromised machines by IP address, destination ports
- The REN-ISAC compiles the darknet data contributions
 - Distributes notifications and reports.
- Limited policy overhead
 - Low privacy requirements for this data



Data: Policy Issues

- Sharing data beyond campus may require different policies to ensure data privacy
- Many campuses have or are developing data release/retention policies for network data
- Can campus policies be mapped to share data beyond the campus?
- Not attempting to draft new policies, but survey what can be done now and where we need improvement.



Data: Sharing

- Value of this data improves with the number of sources
- Do campuses currently have policies that allow sharing of data?
 - With REN-ISAC, others?
- Is there more sensitivity with incident data than standard diagnostics
 - EDDY, e2epi?



Data: Policy Questions

- Do we need to anonymize this data if REN-ISAC is a trusted party?
 - How is this related to outputs from REN-ISAC?
 - Do campuses maintain some control of data disclosure?
- How can existing trust fabrics be leveraged?



Sharing data

- Can we broadly support sharing of incident data?
 - Within policy constraints
 - Incident Reports, Netflow
- What standardization currently exists?
 - IODEF
 - http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-inchiodef-05.txt



Sharing data: RENOIR

- What to use for transport?
 - Scp?
 - EDDY? (http://www.cmu.edu/eddy)
- How do we authentication/authorize sharing this rich data
 - Shibboleth?
- Can we leverage existing federations?
 - InCommon
 - REN-ISAC Registry?



- Research and Education Networking Operational Information Repository
- Design around the concept of ticket system handling security data
 - vast array of sources
- Organizing the data into high-level cases
 - use for reporting on daily operational incidents.
- Rely on a trusted third-party to facilitate communication



- Accept human input and structured data to form tickets
 - using IODEF in an appropriate format.
- Allow input from users from a variety of roles
 - Reporting party, affected site, administrators
 - Researchers?



- Use, widely-accepted, encrypted transport mechanisms
 - In the transport layer
 - Encrypting message content.
- Use a repository of contact information
 - Facilitate automated notifications of affected sites
 - REN-ISAC contacts?



- Extendable to include new security problems and reported incident types as they occur.
 - Accommodate dynamic threat environment
- Interaction with campus-scoped ticketing
- Incremental development of capabilities
 - Due to system and transaction complexity



www.internet2.edu

