InCommon TAC Openness Discussion

- **Two threads** that were addressing similar/overlapping concerns
  - **Openness of TAC (and InCommon)**
    - Came from Scott Koranda (and Jim Basney)
    - Came from Ann West - more transparency and openness for T&I
  - **Feedback from a Research Org for 2017 Work Plan**
    - Bottom line for Research…
      - It's All About the [need for] Attributes
      - R&S
      - SIRTFI
      - Security Incidence Response
      - Researchers' role in the InCommon Community

- **Openness & Transparency Topics…**
  - Ease of finding information (Website, Wiki, Documents, etc.)
    - Scattered in different places
    - REFEDS model (cleaner, simpler)
      - Also primarily used by federation operators?
  - More Open Work Planning
    - Webinars?
    - Ways to enable input to the Work Plan
    - Decision-making process?
    - Publication of what's being worked on - **Communications**?!!!
      - Working Group updates
      - Issues/Concerns
      - Website (once set up)
  - **Whose "Openness" is [REALLY] being requested, and Why?**
    - TAC, TIER, Steering, InCommon - Internet2 T&I
      - Increased Fees?
      - What's going on with…
        - R&S
        - IdP V3
        - Consent
        - Attribute Release (non-R&S)
        - Entity Categories
          - Filtering inbound
          - Filtering outbound
  - **ISSUES/Obstacles that come up**
    - Which attributes to release?
      - ePPN
      - ePUID

- ePTID
- Email
- First/Last/Display Name
    - How do we get SPs to "use" them?
        - Release vs. Usage
        - Email vs. ePPN (scoped identifier)
    - Operability vs. Privacy (part of original InCommon Value Proposition)
        - Federation vs. Bilateral Configuration
        - Concent?
        - CARMA?
- **REFEDS**
    - Workplans (and Open Process to develop) - from Scott Koranda
        - Aims
        - Milestones
        - Budget
        - Email archives easily accessible
        - Who said what
        - Who argued for what position and how strongly

- **Not "over reacting" to the issue, but understanding the problem…**
    - **More input to work plan**
    - **Clear, stated goals and deliverables**
    - **Updates/Communication on where WG's are wrt Goals and Deliverables**
    - **Improve "Time to Market" for WGs, development (TIER) -> don't over-analyze the problem, but understand what's being asked for and provide a solution?**

- **SAML Federation vs. OIDC/OAuth2 (could apply across the board)**
    - What do Campuses need?
        - Federation?
        - SSO?
            - Proxy server?
            - Portal?
    - What does Research need?
    - What alternatives to federation are being used/sought/discussed
    - What are vendors promoting? (are campuses "biting?")
    - Is Federation too hard?
        - Does TIER "solve" this?

- MDQ Effort
- Discovery 2.0 (for MDQ) - don't see this on REFEDS 2017 Workplan